

Enforcement and possible unauthorised development

1. Introduction

This report considers current matters of enforcement and possible unauthorised development. Authority to take planning enforcement action is delegated to the Head of Planning. Matters that require a Committee decision are reported, together with delegated decisions to take action.

It is not an offence to carry out works without planning permission and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that enforcement action is discretionary and that local planning authorities should act proportionately in responding to suspected breaches of planning control. Local authorities are also advised to take action only where it is appropriate to do so. The purpose of this report is normally, therefore, is to report to Committee matters that are breaches of planning control but where it is recommended that it is not expedient to take enforcement action.

2. Policy

The Council's Approach to Planning Enforcement is set out in the adopted Local Enforcement Plan. The essential thrust of the Plan is that we will not condone wilful breaches of planning law but we will exercise our discretion about taking enforcement action if it is considered expedient to do so. The priorities with regard to enforcement are:

- To focus our resources to ensure that the most pressing and harmful issues are addressed appropriately.
- In determining the expediency of enforcement action we will have regard to the seriousness of any harm which is evident as a result of a breach of planning control.
- Matters which can potentially have a serious impact on the safety or amenity of residents or occupiers of property or on the natural environment will take priority over minor infractions and matters of dispute between neighbours.

3. Items

Each item contains a full description, details of any investigation, and an assessment of the situation and concludes with a recommendation.

This report relates to:

Item 1 Delegated Decision to take Enforcement Action

All information, recommendations and advice contained in this report are understood to be correct at the time of writing this report. Any change in circumstances will be

updated verbally at the Committee meeting. Where a recommendation is either altered or substantially amended between preparing the report and the Committee meeting, a separate sheet will be circulated at the meeting to assist Members in following the modifications proposed.

4. Human rights

The Human Rights Act 1998 (the Act) has incorporated part of the European Convention on Human Rights into English law. Any recommendation either to take or not to take enforcement action has been assessed to make sure that the decision is compatible with the Act. If there is a potential conflict this will be highlighted in the individual report on the relevant item.

5. Financial implications

There are no direct financial implications arising from this report. However, in the event of an appeal, further resources will be put towards defending the Council's decision. Rarely, and in certain circumstances, decisions on planning enforcement cases result in the Council facing an application for costs arising from a planning appeal. Officers will aim to alert Members where this may be likely and provide appropriate advice in such circumstances.

Keith Holland
Head of Planning

BACKGROUND PAPERS

Rushmoor Local Plan Review (1996-2011)[saved policies]

Rushmoor Core Strategy (October 2011)

Rushmoor Local Enforcement Plan (2016)

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)

Item1

Delegated Decisions to take Enforcement Action

The Following Decision is reported for INFORMATION purposes only. It relates to a decision to take enforcement action that has already been made by the Head of Planning in accordance with the Council's adopted Scheme of Delegation.

Address	29 Wellington Street, Aldershot
Ward	Wellington
Decision	Issue Enforcement Notice
Decision Date	23 rd August 2017
Reasons	Installation of visually inappropriate shop front and roller shutter to the front of this property.
Alternatives	No Action - would fail to address the detrimental impact on the visual character of the area, contrary to Core Strategy Policy CP2 (Design and Heritage), saved Local Plan Policy S3 (Shop fronts) and the objectives of the Shop Front Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document. Therefore enforcement action is necessary.
Case Officer	Mark Andrews
Associated Documents	Enforcement Reference 16/00192/GENWRK

Recommendation: To Note